UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/654,527	09/01/2000	Hideo Miyake	1614.1074	7021
21171 STAAS & HA	21171 7590 07/27/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP		EXAMINER	
SUITE 700			MEONSKE, TONIA L	
	1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1,, 2 0 2000		2181	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/654,527	MIYAKE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tonia L. Meonske	2181				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ap	oril 2007.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-13,15 and 16</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,11,13 and 15</u> is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	t				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 April 2007</u> is/are: a)  accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR.1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	ed in this National Stage				
* 5	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* **	ed ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	,						
Attachmen	t(s)	<u>.                                    </u>					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Proper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2181

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

# Claim Objections

3. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 1, please change ";" to ",". Appropriate correction is required.

### **Drawings**

4. The drawings filed on April 27, 2007 are objected to for being of an informal nature. Amendments to the drawings have been made, but only the annotated drawings have been filed. Applicant is advised to submit replacement sheets of the drawings without annotations. Appropriate correction is required.

# **INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES**

#### **Replacement Drawing Sheets**

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted

Art Unit: 2181

by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

# **Annotated Drawing Sheets**

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

# **Timing of Corrections**

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

Art Unit: 2181

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Page 4

- 7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Faraboschi et al., US Patent 5,930,508 (herein after referred to as Faraboschi).
- 8. Referring to claim 16, Faraboshi has taught a parallel processor performing parallel processing of at least one basic instruction contained in each of a plurality of instruction words, each of the instruction words consisting of the at least one basic instruction to be executed by at least one instruction execution unit and delimiting information only delimiting the at least one basic instruction (Figures 5 and 6), said parallel processor comprising:
  - a. instruction execution units performing processes in accordance with corresponding, supplied basic instructions in parallel (Figure 1, Column 4, lines 46-50, column 1, lines 16-62);
  - b. an instruction fetch unit fetching the instruction words one by one in accordance with the instruction delimiting information (column 5, line 45-column 6, line 19, Figure 6, element 630 is the first instruction word fetched and stored in the alignment buffer, element 730 of Figure 7); and
  - c. an interface setting effective bits each corresponding to one of the instruction execution units and indicating a corresponding instruction execution unit for each basic instruction to be executed from each instruction word (column 3, lines 15-36, column 4, lines 57-column 5, line 4, column 7, line 60-column 8,

Art Unit: 2181

line 55, dispersal bits), checking codes of the at least one basic instruction to identify the at least one basic instruction (Figure 3, element 222,column 4, lines 40-46, instructions are decoded) and to determine the corresponding instruction execution unit for each basic instruction (column 3, lines 15-36, column 4, lines 57-column 5, line 4, Dispersal codes are indicative of which functional unit instructions are supplied to.).

# Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments, filed April 27, 2007, with respect to claims 1-8, 11-13 and 15 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The grounds of rejection has been withdrawn.
- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tonia L. Meonske whose telephone number is (571) 272-4170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with first Friday's off.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alford Kindred can be reached on (571) 272-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2181

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TLM

Tonia L. Meonske

mad. Mende

July 17, 2007